arXiv:1510.02372v1 [math.AT] 8 Oct 2015

SELF-DUAL BINARY CODES FROM SMALL COVERS AND SIMPLE POLYTOPES

BO CHEN, ZHI LÜ AND LI YU

Abstract. We explore the connection between simple polytopes and self-dual binary codes via the theory of small covers. We first show that a small cover M^n over a simple n-polytope P^n produces a self-dual code in the sense of Kreck–Puppe if and only if P^n is n-colorable and n is odd. Then we show how to describe such a self-dual binary code in terms of the combinatorics of P^n. Moreover, we construct a family of binary linear codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, for an arbitrary simple n-polytope P^n and discuss when $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ is self-dual. A spinoff of our investigation gives some new ways to judge whether a simple n-polytope P^n is n-colorable in terms of the associated binary codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$. In addition, we prove that the minimum distance of the self-dual binary code obtained from a 3-colorable simple 3-polytope is always 4.

1. Introduction

A (linear) *binary code* C of length l is a linear subspace of the l-dimensional linear space $\mathbb{F}_2^l$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ (the binary field). The *Hamming weight* of an element $u = (u_1, ..., u_l) \in \mathbb{F}_2^l$, denoted by $wt(u)$, is the number of nonzero coordinates u_i in u. Any element of C is called a *codeword*. The *Hamming distance* $d(u, v)$ of two codewords $u, v \in C$ is defined by:

$$d(u, v) = wt(u - v).$$

The minimum of the Hamming distances $d(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in C$, $u \neq v$, is called the *minimum distance* of C (which also equals the minimum Hamming weight of nonzero elements in C). A binary code $C \subset \mathbb{F}_2^l$ is called *type* $[l, k, d]$ if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C = k$ and the minimum distance of C is d. We call two binary codes in $\mathbb{F}_2^l$ *equivalent* if they differ only by a permutation of coordinates.

The standard bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^l$ is defined by

$$\langle u, v\rangle := \sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i v_i,\ \ u = (u_1, ..., u_l), v = (v_1, ..., v_l) \in \mathbb{F}_2^l.$$

Note that $\langle u, v\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\big(wt(u) + wt(v) - wt(u + v)\big) \bmod 2$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_2^l$, and

$$\langle u, u\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i,\ \ u = (u_1, ..., u_l) \in \mathbb{F}_2^l.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S25, 94B05, 57M60, 57R91.

Key words and phrases. Self-dual code, polytope, small cover.

Supported in part by grants from NSFC (No. 11371093, No. 11371188 and No. 11431009).

Then any linear binary code C in $\mathbb{F}_2^l$ has a *dual code* $C^\perp$ defined by

$$C^\perp := \{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^l \,|\, \langle u, c\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\}$$

It is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C^\perp = l$. We call C *self-dual* if $C = C^\perp$. For a self-dual binary code C, we can easy show the following

- The length $l = 2\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C$ must be even;
- For any $u \in C$, the Hamming weight $wt(u)$ is an even integer since $\langle u, u\rangle = 0$;
- The minimum distance of C is an even integer.

Self-dual linear codes play an important role in coding theory and have been studied extensively (see [21] for a detailed survey).

Puppe in [19] found an interesting connection between closed manifolds and self-dual binary codes. It was shown in [19] that an involution τ on an odd dimensional closed manifold M with "maximal number of isolated fixed points" (i.e., with only isolated fixed points and the number of fixed points $|M^\tau| = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\bigoplus_i H^i(M;\mathbb{F}_2)))$ determines a self-dual binary code of length $|M^\tau|$. Such an involution τ is called an m-*involution*. Conversely, Kreck–Puppe [14] proved a somewhat surprising theorem that any self-dual binary code can be obtained from an m-involution on some closed 3-manifold. Hence it is an interesting problem for us to search m-involutions on closed manifolds. But in practice it is very difficult to construct all possible m-involutions on a given manifold.

On the other hand, Davis and Januszkiewicz in [8] introduced a class of closed smooth manifolds M^n with locally standard actions of elementary 2-group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, called *small covers*, whose orbit space is an n-dimensional simple convex polytope P^n in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It was shown in [8] that many geometric and topological properties of M^n can be explicitly computed in terms of the combinatorics of P^n and some characteristic function on P^n determined by the $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action. For example, the mod 2 Betti numbers of M^n correspond to the h-vector of P^n. Any nonzero element $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ determines a nontrivial involution on M^n, denoted by τ_g. We call τ_g a *regular involution* on the small cover. So whenever τ_g is an m-involution on M^n, we obtain a self-dual binary code from (M^n, τ_g).

Motivated by Kreck–Puppe and Davis–Januszkiewicz's work, our purpose in this paper is to explore the connection between the theory of binary codes and the combinatorics of simple polytopes via the topology of small covers. We will show that a small cover M^n over an n-dimensional simple polytope P^n admits a regular m-involution only when P^n is n-colorable. A polytope is *n-colorable* if we can color all the facets (codimension-one faces) of the polytope by n different colors so that any neighboring facets are assigned different colors. Moreover, we find that the self-dual binary code obtained from a regular m-involution on M^n depends only on the combinatorial structure of P^n. This motivates us to define a family of binary codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ for any simple polytope P^n (not necessarily n-colorable). It turns out that a binary code of this type can be self-dual only when the corresponding simple polytope P^n is n-colorable and n is odd.

The arrangement of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we first recall some basic facts of small covers and explain the procedure of obtaining self-dual binary codes in [19] from m-involutions on manifolds. In addition, we investigate what kind of small covers can admit regular m-involutions (see Theorem 2.2). In section 3, we spell out the self-dual

binary code from a small cover with a regular m-involution (see Corollary 3.3). It turns out that the binary code we obtain depends only on the combinatorial structure of the underlying simple polytope. Moreover, we find a combinatorial way to write a linear basis of the self-dual binary code (see Proposition 3.4). In section 4, we study a family of binary codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, associated to any simple n-polytope P^n. We prove that $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ is self-dual if and only if n is odd, P^n is n-colorable and $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$ (see Theorem 4.7). This implies that self-dual binary codes that arise from $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ agree with those from small covers. In addition, a spinoff of our investigation gives some new ways to judge whether P^n is n-colorable in terms of the associated binary codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ (see Proposition 4.5). In section 5, we show that the minimum distance of the self-dual binary code obtained from a 3-colorable simple 3-polytope is always 4 (see Proposition 5.1). In section 6, we study some special properties of n-colorable simple n-polytopes. In section 7, we study what kind of doubly-even binary codes can be obtained from n-colorable simple n-polytopes. In particular, we show that the extended Golay code and the extended quadratic residue code can not be obtained from any n-colorable simple n-poltyopes.

2. Small covers with m-involutions

2.1. **Small covers.** An n-dimensional simple (convex) polytope is a polytope such that each vertex of the polytope is exactly the intersection of n *facets* ($(n-1)$-dimensional faces) in the polytope. Following [8], an n-dimensional *small cover* $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ is a closed smooth n-manifold M^n with a locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action whose orbit space is homeomorphic to an n-dimensional simple convex polytope P^n, where a locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action on M^n means that this $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action on M^n is locally isomorphic to a faithful representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathcal{F}(P^n)$ denote the set of all facets of P^n. For any facet F of P^n, the isotropy subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(F)$ in M^n with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action is a rank one subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ generated by an element of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, denoted by $\lambda(F)$. Then we obtain a map $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ which is called the *characteristic function* associated to M^n. Notice that the n facets meeting at each vertex of P^n are mapped to n linearly independent elements in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. It is shown in [8] that up to equivariant homeomorphism, M^n can be recovered from (P^n, λ) in a canonical way (see (2.3)). Moreover, many algebraic topological invariants of a small cover $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ can be easily computed from (P^n, λ). Here is a list of facts on the cohomology rings of small covers proved in [8].

(R1) Let $b_i(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ be the i-th mod 2 Betti number of M^n. Then

$$b_i(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = h_i(P^n),\ 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$$

where $(h_0(P^n), h_1(P^n), \ldots, h_n(P^n))$ is the h-vector of P^n.

(R2) Let $V(P^n)$ denote the set of vertices of P^n. Then

$$|M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i(P^n) = |V(P^n)|.$$

(R3) The equivariant cohomology $H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic as graded rings to the Stanley–Reisner ring of P^n

$$H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2(P^n) = \mathbb{F}_2[a_{F_1},\dots,a_{F_m}]/I_{P^n} \tag{2.1}$$

where $F_1,\dots,F_m$ are all the facets of P^n and $a_{F_1},\dots,a_{F_m}$ are of degree 1, and I_{P^n} is the ideal generated by all square free monomials $a_{F_{i_1}}\cdots a_{F_{i_s}}$ with $F_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap F_{i_s}=\varnothing$ in P^n.

(R4) The mod-2 cohomology ring $H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_2)\cong\mathbb{F}_2[a_{F_1},\dots,a_{F_m}]/I_P+J_\lambda$, where J_λ is an ideal determined λ. In particular, $H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by degree 1 elements.

2.2. **Spaces constructed from simple polytopes with $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$-colorings.**

Let P^n be an n-dimensional simple polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $r\geqslant 0$, a $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$-coloring on P is a map $\mu:\mathcal{F}(P^n)\to\mathbb{Z}_2^r$. For any facet F of P, $\mu(F)$ is called the *color of* F. Let $f=F_1\cap\cdots\cap F_k$ be a codimension-k face of P where $F_1,\cdots,F_k\in\mathcal{F}(P^n)$. Define

$$G^\mu_f = \text{the subgroup of } \mathbb{Z}_2^r \text{ generated by } \mu(F_1),\cdots,\mu(F_k). \tag{2.2}$$

Besides, let G^μ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$ generated by $\{\mu(F)\,;\,F\in\mathcal{F}(P)\}$. The rank of G^μ is called the *rank of* μ, denoted by $\mathrm{rank}(\mu)$. It is clear that $\mathrm{rank}(\mu)\leqslant r$.

For any point $p\in P^n$, let $f(p)$ denote the unique face of P^n that contains p in its relative interior. Then we define a space associated to (P^n,μ) by:

$$M(P^n,\mu) = P^n\times\mathbb{Z}_2^r/\sim \tag{2.3}$$

where $(p,g)\sim(p',g')$ if and only if $p=p'$ and $g^{-1}g'\in G^\mu_{f(p)}$.

- $M(P^n,\mu)$ is a closed manifold if and only if μ is *non-degenerate*, which means that $\mu(F_1)\cdots,\mu(F_k)$ are linearly independent whenever $F_1\cap\cdots\cap F_k\neq\varnothing$.
- $M(P^n,\mu)$ has $2^{r-\mathrm{rank}(\mu)}$ connected components. So $M(P^n,\mu)$ is connected if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(\mu)=r$.
- There is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$-action on $M(P^n,\mu)$ defined by:
$$h\cdot[(x,g)]=[(x,g+h)],\ x\in P^n,\ g,h\in\mathbb{Z}_2^r.$$
let $\pi_\mu: M(P^n,\mu)\to P^n$ be the map sending any $[(x,g)]\in M(P^n,\mu)$ to $x\in P^n$.

For any face f of P^n with $\dim(f)\geqslant 1$, let $r(f)=r-\mathrm{rank}(G^\mu_f)$ and

$$\eta_f:\mathbb{Z}_2^r\to\mathbb{Z}_2^r/G^\mu_f\cong\mathbb{Z}_2^{r(f)}$$

be the quotient homomorphism. Then μ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{r(f)}$-coloring μ_f on f by:

$$\mu_f(F\cap f):=\eta_f(\mu(F)),\ \text{where } F\in\mathcal{F}(P),\ \dim(F\cap f)=\dim(f)-1. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to see that $\pi_\mu^{-1}(f)$ is homeomorphic to $M(f,\mu_f)$.

Example 2.1. Suppose $\pi: M^n\to P^n$ is a small cover with characteristic function λ. Then M^n is homeomorphic to $M(P^n,\lambda)$. Moreover, for any face f of P^n, $\pi^{-1}(f)\cong M(f,\lambda_f)$ is a closed connected submanifold of M^n, called a *facial submanifold* of M^n. Let $F_1,\cdots,F_m$ be all the facets of P^n. Then the generators $a_{F_1},\cdots,a_{F_m}\in H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ in (2.1) are the equivariant Euler classes of the normal bundles of the facial submanifolds $\pi^{-1}(F_1),\cdots,\pi^{-1}(F_m)$ in M^n.

Remark 1. The construction (2.3) makes sense for any $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$-coloring on a nice manifold with corners.

2.3. **Small covers with regular m-involutions.** Let $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ be a small cover over an n-dimensional simple polytope P^n and $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be its characteristic function. Let us discuss under what condition there exists a regular m-involution on M^n.

Theorem 2.2. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *There exists a regular* m*-involution on* M^n.
(b) *There exists a regular involution on* M^n *with only isolated fixed points;*
(c) *The image* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda$ *of* λ *is a basis of* $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ *(which implies that* P^n *is* n*-colorable).*

Proof. It is trivial that (a) implies (b).

(b)⇒(c) Suppose there exists $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ so that the fixed points of τ_g on M^n are all isolated. Let v be an arbitrary vertex on P^n and $F_1, \cdots, F_n$ be the n facets meeting at v. By the construction of small covers, $\pi^{-1}(v) = p$ is a fixed point of the whole group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Let $U \subset M$ be a small neighborhood of p. Since the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ on M^n is locally standard, we observe that for $h = \lambda(F_{i_1}) + \cdots + \lambda(F_{i_s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leqslant n$, the dimension of the fixed point set of τ_h in U is equal to $n - s$. Then since the fixed points of τ_g are all isolated, we must have $g = \lambda(F_1) + \cdots + \lambda(F_n)$.

Next, take an edge of P^n with two endpoints v_1, v_2. Since P^n is simple, there are $n+1$ facets $F_1, ..., F_n, F'_n$ such that $v_1 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_n$ and $v_2 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F'_n$. Then $\lambda(F_1) + \cdots + \lambda(F_{n-1}) + \lambda(F_n) = g = \lambda(F_1) + \cdots + \lambda(F_{n-1}) + \lambda(F'_n)$, which implies $\lambda(F_n) = \lambda(F'_n)$. Since the 1-skeleton of P^n is connected, we can deduce the image $\operatorname{Im}\lambda$ of λ consists of n elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ which form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

(c)⇒(a) Suppose $\operatorname{Im}\lambda = \{g_1, \cdots, g_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then by the construction of small covers, the fixed point set of the regular involution $\tau_{g_1+\cdots+g_n}$ on M^n is

$$\{\pi^{-1}(v) \,|\, v \in V(P^n)\} = M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}.$$

So the number of fixed points of $\tau_{g_1+\cdots+g_n}$ is equal to the number of vertices of P^n, which is known to be $h_0(P^n) + h_1(P^n) + \cdots + h_n(P^n)$. Then by the result (R1) in Subsection 2.1, $\tau_{g_1+\cdots+g_n}$ is an m-involution on M^n. □

2.4. **Binary codes from m-involutions on manifolds.** Let τ be an involution on a closed connected n-dimensional manifold M, which has only isolated fixed points. Let $G_\tau \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ denote the binary group generated by τ. By Conner [7, p.82], the number $|M^{G_\tau}|$ of the fixed points of G_τ must be even. So we assume $|M^{G_\tau}| = 2r$, $r \geqslant 1$ in the following discussions.

By [1, Proposition(1.3.14)], the following statements are equivalent.

(a) $|M^{G_\tau}| = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ (i.e. τ is an m-involution);
(b) $H^*_{G_\tau}(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a free $H^*(BG_\tau; \mathbb{F}_2)$-module, so

$$H^*_{G_\tau}(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes H^*(BG_\tau; \mathbb{F}_2);$$

(c) The inclusion of the fixed point set, $\iota : M^{G_\tau} \hookrightarrow M$, induces a monomorphism

$$\iota^* : H^*_{G_\tau}(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^*_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[t].$$

Next we assume that τ is an m-involution on M. So the image of $H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}\otimes\mathbb{F}_2[t]$ under the localization map ι^* is isomorphic to $H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ as graded algebras. It is shown in [6, 19] that the image $\iota^*(H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2))$ can be described in the following way. For any vectors $x=(x_1,...,x_{2r})$ and $y=(y_1,...,y_{2r})$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$, define

$$x\circ y=(x_1y_1,...,x_{2r}y_{2r}).$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ forms a commutative ring with respect to two operations $+$ and $\circ$. Actually, $(\mathbb{F}_2^{2r},+,\circ)$ is a boolean ring. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{2r}=\big\{x=(x_1,...,x_{2r})\in\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}\,\big|\,\langle x,x\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{2r}x_i=0\big\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_{2r}$ is a $(2r-1)$-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$. Note that for any $u\in\mathcal{V}_{2r}$, the Hamming weight $wt(u)$ of u is an even integer. The following lemma is immediate from our definitions.

Lemma 2.3. *Let C be a binary code in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}C=r$. The following statements are equivalent.*

(C1) *C is a self-dual code;*

(C2) *For any $x,y\in C$, $\langle x,y\rangle=0$;*

(C3) *For any $x,y\in C$, $x\circ y\in\mathcal{V}_{2r}$.*

Moreover, let

$$V_k^M=\{y\in\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}\,|\,y\otimes t^k\in\mathrm{Im}(\iota^*)\}\subset\mathbb{F}_2^{2r},\ k=0,\cdots,n. \tag{2.6}$$

By the localization theorem for equivariant cohomology (see [1]), we have isomorphisms

$$H^k(M^n;\mathbb{F}_2)\cong V_k^M/V_{k-1}^M,\ 0\leqslant k\leqslant n. \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 2.4 ([6, Theorem 3.1])**.** *For any $0\leqslant k\leqslant n$, the dimension of V_k^M is*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}V_k^M=\sum_{j=0}^{k}b_j(M;\mathbb{F}_2).$$

In addition, $H^*_{G_\tau}(M^n;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to the graded ring

$$\mathcal{R}_M=V_0^M+V_1^Mt+\cdots+V_{n-2}^Mt^{n-2}+V_{n-1}^Mt^{n-1}+\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}(t^n+t^{n+1}+\cdots)$$

where the ring structure of $\mathcal{R}_M$ is given by

(a) *$\mathbb{F}_2\cong V_0^M\subset V_1^M\subset\cdots\subset V_{n-2}^M\subset V_{n-1}^M=\mathcal{V}_{2r}\subset V_n^M=\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$, where V_0^M is generated by $\underline{1}=(1,...,1)\in\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$;*

(b) *For $d=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}id_i<n$ with each $d_i\geqslant 0$, $v_{\omega_{d_0}}\circ\cdots\circ v_{\omega_{d_{n-1}}}\in V_d^M$, where*

$$v_{\omega_{d_i}}=v_1^{(i)}\circ\cdots\circ v_{d_i}^{(i)},\ v_j^{(i)}\in V_i^M.$$

The operation $\circ$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ corresponds to the cup product in $H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Each V_k^M above can be thought of as a binary code in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$. Note that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$, $\langle x, y\rangle = 0$ if and only if $x \circ y \in \mathcal{V}_{2r}$. So Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$(V_k^M)^\perp = V_{n-1-k}^M. \tag{2.8}$$

This is because V_{n-1-k}^M is perpendicular to V_k^M with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and by the Poincaré duality of M, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_k^M + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_{n-1-k}^M = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j(M;\mathbb{F}_2) = 2r$.

So in particular when n is odd, $V_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^M$ is a self-dual binary code in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$. It is easy to see that $V_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^M$ is the largest subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{2r}$ that is closed under the operation $\circ$ in this case (see [6, Corollary 3.2]).

2.5. **Descriptions of n-colorable n-dimensional simple polytopes.**

The following descriptions of n-colorable simple n-polytopes are due to Joswig [12].

Theorem 2.5 ([12, Theorem 16 and Corollary 21])**.** *Let P^n be an n-dimensional simple polytope. The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *P^n is n-colorable;*
(b) *Each 2-face of P^n has an even number of vertices.*
(c) *Each face of P^n with dimension greater than 0 (including P^n itself) has an even number of vertices.*
(d) *Any proper k-face of P^n is k-colorable.*

3. Self-dual binary codes from small covers

Let $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ be an n-dimensional small cover which admits a regular m-involution. By Theorem 2.2, P^n is an n-dimensional n-colorable simple polytope with an even number of vertices. Let $\{v_1, \cdots, v_{2r}\}$ be all the vertices of P^n. The characteristic function λ of M^n satisfies: $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda) = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. By Theorem 2.2, $\tau_{e_1+\cdots+e_n}$ is an m-involution on M^n. So by the discussion in Subsection 2.4, we obtain a filtration

$$\mathbb{F}_2 \cong V_0^M \subset V_1^M \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-2}^M \subset V_{n-1}^M = \mathcal{V}_{2r} \subset V_n^M = \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}.$$

In particular, when n is odd, $C_{M^n} = V_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^M \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ is a self-dual binary code determined by $(M^n, \tau_{e_1+\cdots+e_n})$. In this section, we will describe each V_k^M, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, explicitly in terms of the combinatorics of P^n, and hence determines the code C_{M^n}.

First, any face f of P^n determines an element $\xi_f \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ where the i-th entry of ξ_f is 1 if and only if v_i is a vertex of f. In particular, $\xi_{P^n} = \underline{1} = (1, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$. Note that for any faces $f_1, \cdots, f_s$ of P^n, we have

$$\xi_{f_1 \cap \cdots \cap f_s} = \xi_{f_1} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{f_s}. \tag{3.1}$$

In addition, we define a sequence of binary codes $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n) \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ as follows.

$$\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\{\xi_f\,;\ f \text{ is a codimension-}k \text{ face of } P\},\ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n. \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 2. Up to equivalences of binary codes, each $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ is uniquely determined by the simple polytope P^n.

Lemma 3.1. *For any n-colorable simple n-polytope P^n with $2r$ vertices, we have*

$$\mathfrak{B}_0(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_1(P^n) \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n) = \mathcal{V}_{2r} \subset \mathfrak{B}_n(P^n) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}.$$

Proof. By definition, P^n can be colored by n colors $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$. Now choose an arbitrary color say e_j, we observe that each vertex of P^n is contained in exactly one facet of P^n colored by e_j. This implies that

$$\xi_{P^n} = \xi_{F_1} + \cdots + \xi_{F_s}$$

where $F_1, \cdots, F_s$ are all the facets of P^n colored by e_j. So $\mathfrak{B}_0(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_1(P^n)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.5(d), the facets $F_1, \cdots, F_s$ are $(n-1)$-dimensional simple polytopes which are $(n-1)$-colorable. So by repeating the above argument, we can show that $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_2(P^n)$ and so on. Now it remains to show $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n) = \mathcal{V}_{2r}$.

By definition, $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$ is spanned by $\{\xi_f \,|\, f \text{ is an edge (or 1-face) of } P^n\}$. So it is obvious that $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n) \subset \mathcal{V}_{2r}$. Let $\{v_1, \cdots, v_{2r}\}$ be all the vertices of P^n. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{V}_{2r}$ is spanned by $\{\xi_{v_i} + \xi_{v_j} \,|\, 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2r\}$. Then since there exists an edge path on P^n between any two vertices v_i and v_j of P^n, $\xi_{v_i} + \xi_{v_j}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$. So $\mathcal{V}_{2r} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$. This finishes the proof. □

Later we will prove that the condition in Lemma 3.1 is also sufficient for an n-dimensional simple polytope to be n-colorable (see Proposition 4.5).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ be an n-dimensional small cover which admits a regular* m*-involution. For any $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, the space V_k^M coincides with $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ be an n-dimensional small cover which admits a regular* m*-involution where n is odd. The self-dual binary code $C_{M^n} = V^M_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \mathfrak{B}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(P^n) \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ is spanned by $\{\xi_f\,;\, f$ is any face of P^n with $\dim(f) = \frac{n+1}{2}\}$. So the minimum distance of C_{M^n} is less or equal to $\min\{\#(\text{vertices of } f)\,;\, f$ is a $\frac{n+1}{2}$-dimensional face of $P^n\}$.*

Problem: For any n-dimensional small cover M^n which admits a regular m-involution where n is odd, determine the minimum distance of the self-dual binary code C_{M^n}.

We will see in Proposition 5.1 that when $n = 3$, the minimum distance of C_{M^n} is always equal to 4. For higher dimensions, it seems to us that the minimum distance of C_{M^n} should be equal to $\min\{\#(\text{vertices of } f)\,;\, f \text{ is a } \frac{n+1}{2}\text{-dimensional face of } P^n\}$. But the proof is not clear to us.

In the following, we will prove Theorem 3.2 in two different ways. For brevity, let

$$\tau = \tau_{e_1+\cdots+e_n}, \quad G_\tau = \langle\tau\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^n.$$

By the construction of M^n, all the fixed points of τ on M^n are $\tilde{v}_1, \cdots, \tilde{v}_{2r}$ where

$$\tilde{v}_i = \pi^{-1}(v_i) \in M^n, \ i = 1, \cdots, 2r.$$

3.1. **The first proof of Theorem 3.2.**

Since $n = 1$ case is trivial, we will assume $n \geqslant 2$ in the rest of the proof. Choose a small G_τ-invariant disk D_i^n around each $\tilde{v}_i$ in M^n. Let

$$S_i^{n-1} = \partial D_i^n, \quad \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1} = S_i^{n-1}/G_\tau.$$

If not particularly indicated, we assume $\mathbb{F}_2$-coefficients for all cohomology and homology groups below. It is clear that the inclusion $\tilde{v}_i \hookrightarrow D_i^n$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^*_{G_\tau}(D_i^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*_{G_\tau}(\tilde{v}_i).$$

So to compute the image of the localization $\iota^* : H^*_{G_\tau}(M) \to H^*_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau})$, it is equivalent to computing the image of $\kappa^* : H^*_{G_\tau}(M^n) \to H^*_{G_\tau}(\bigcup D_i^n)$ where $\kappa : \bigcup D_i^n \to M^n$ denotes the inclusion. Consider the long exact sequence of equivariant cohomology for the inclusion of $\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big) \hookrightarrow \big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big)$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big) & \longrightarrow & H^j_{G_\tau}(M^n) & \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} & H^j_{G_\tau}\big(\bigcup D_i^n\big) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+1}_{G_\tau}\big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big) \\
\downarrow\cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\cong \\
H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big) & \longrightarrow & H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n\big) & \longrightarrow & H^j_{G_\tau}\big(\bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+1}_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big)
\end{array}$$

Here the isomorphism $H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big)$, $j \geqslant 0$ is the excision of cohomology. Note that the equivariant cohomology of a free G-space is the ordinary cohomology of the orbit space, we can identify $H^*_{G_\tau}(\bigcup S_i^{n-1})$ with $H^*(\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})$.

Claim: The group homomorphism $H^j_{G_\tau}(\bigcup D_i^n) \longrightarrow H^j_{G_\tau}(\bigcup S_i^{n-1}) = H^j\left(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1}\right)$ is an isomorphism for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$.

Indeed, for each disk D_i^n, we have canonical inclusions

$$S_i^{n-1} \xrightarrow{(id,*)} S_i^{n-1} \times S^\infty \longrightarrow D_i^n \times S^\infty \xrightarrow{p} S^\infty$$

So we have a graded ring homomorphism:

$$H^*_{G_\tau}(D_i^n) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[t] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t^n) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) \cong H^*_{G_\tau}(S_i^{n-1})$$

which is an isomorphism in degree less than n. The claim is proved.

Since G acts freely on $M^n\backslash\bigcup_{2r} \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n$, let $W = \big(M^n\backslash\bigcup_{2r} \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n\big)/\tau$ which is a manifold with boundary $\partial W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2r} \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1}$ and, there is an isomorphism $H^*_{G_\tau}(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n) \cong H^*(W)$. So we have a commutative diagram for each $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big) & \longrightarrow & H^j_{G_\tau}(M^n) & \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} & H^j_{G_\tau}\big(\bigcup D_i^n\big) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+1}_{G_\tau}\big(M^n, \bigcup D_i^n\big) \\
\downarrow\cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\
H^j_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big) & \longrightarrow & H^j(W) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+1}_{G_\tau}\big(M^n\backslash\bigcup \overset{\circ}{D}{}_i^n, \bigcup S_i^{n-1}\big)
\end{array}$$

Then by the five-lemma, for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Im}\Big(H^j_{G_\tau}(M) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^j_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau})\Big) \cong \mathrm{Im}\Big(H^j_{G_\tau}(M^n) \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} H^j_{G_\tau}(\bigcup D_i^n)\Big) \cong \mathrm{Im}\Big(H^j(W) \to H^j(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})\Big).$$



FIGURE 1. Cut a neighborhood from each vertice

So for any $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, the space V_k^M can be identified with the linear subspace spanned by the image

$$\mathrm{Im}\Big(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} H^j(W) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} H^j(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})\Big).$$

By the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}\Big(H^j(W) \to H^j(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})\Big) \cong \mathrm{Im}\Big(H_{n-j}(W, \partial W) \to H_{n-j-1}(\partial W)\Big).$$

Then the space V_k^M can be further identified with the linear space spanned by the image

$$\mathrm{Im}\Big(\bigoplus_{j=n-k}^{n} H_j(W, \partial W) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=n-k}^{n} H_{j-1}(\partial W)\Big), \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we construct a cell decomposition of W to compute $\mathrm{Im}\big(H_j(W, \partial W) \to H_{j-1}(\partial W)\big)$ for all j. Let M/G_τ be the orbit space of the G_τ-action on M. Observe that

$$M/G_\tau = W \bigcup \big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2r} Cone(\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})\big)$$

In addition, it is easy to see that $M/G_\tau = M(P^n, \lambda)/G_\tau$ is homeomorphic to $M(P^n, \bar{\lambda})$ where $\bar{\lambda}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$-coloring on P^n defined by:

$$\bar{\lambda}(F) = \begin{cases} e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1}, & \text{if } \lambda(F) = e_n; \\ \lambda(F), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Here we think of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$ as the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ generated by $e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}$.

We can obtain W from M/G_τ by removing an open cone of $\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1}$ from M/G_τ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r$. In the orbit spaces, this operation corresponds to cutting off from P^n a small neighborhood of each vertex of P^n. Let P^Δ denote the new simple polytope obtained after these cuttings on P^n (see Figure 1). We consider P^Δ as a subset of P^n.

The cut section of P^n at v_i is a facet of P^Δ, denoted by E_i, which is isomorphic an $(n-1)$-simplex. Let $\{u_{i,1}, \cdots, u_{i,n}\}$ be the vertices of E_i. Then all the vertices of P^Δ are

$$V(P^\Delta) = \{u_{i,j} \,|\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}.$$

For any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, a k-face f of P^n is cut into a face f^Δ of P^Δ where

$$f^\Delta = f \cap P^\Delta,\ \dim(f^\Delta) = \dim(f).$$

It is clear that a k-face of P^Δ is either f^Δ for some k-face of P^n or a k-face of E_i. In particular, all the facets of P^Δ are

$$\mathcal{F}(P^\Delta) = \{F^\Delta\,;\, F \text{ is any facet of } P^n\} \cup \{E_i\,;\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r\}.$$

In addition, the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$-coloring $\bar{\lambda}$ on P^n induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$-coloring λ^Δ on P^Δ by:

- $\lambda^\Delta(F^\Delta) = \bar{\lambda}(F) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$ for any facet F of P^n.
- $\lambda^\Delta(E_i) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r$.

It is easy to see that W is homeomorphic to $M(P^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta)$. For brevity, let

$$\pi_\Delta = \pi_{\lambda^\Delta} : M(P^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta) \to P^\Delta$$

Then $\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(E_i) \cong M(E_i, \lambda^\Delta_{E_i})$ (see (2.4)). Note that for any k-face f' of E_i, $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(f')$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^k$, which can be considered as a generator of $H_k(\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})$.

Let f be a face of P^n with $\dim(f) = j \geqslant 1$ and $\{v_{p_0}, \cdots, v_{p_j}\}$ be the vertex set of f. We can easily see that $M_{f^\Delta} := \pi_\Delta^{-1}(f^\Delta)$ is a connected submanifold of W whose boundary

$$\partial M_{f^\Delta} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{j} \pi_\Delta^{-1}(f^\Delta \cap E_{p_i}) \subset \partial W.$$

So M_{f^Δ} defines an element $[M_{f^\Delta}] \in H_j(W, \partial W)$. Since $f^\Delta \cap E_{p_i}$ is a $(j-1)$-face of E_{p_i}, $[\pi_\Delta^{-1}(f^\Delta \cap E_{p_i})]$ is a generator of $H_{j-1}(\mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})$. Then if we identify $H_{j-1}(\partial W) = H_{j-1}(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})$ with the subgroup $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \otimes t^{j-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[t] = H^*_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau})$, the homology class $[\partial M_{f^\Delta}] \in H_{j-1}(\partial W)$ is represented by the element $\xi_f \otimes t^{j-1}$.

Claim: For any $j \geqslant 1$, the image $\mathrm{Im}\big(H_j(W, \partial W) \to H_{j-1}(\partial W)\big)$ is spanned by the homology classes $\{[\partial M_{f^\Delta}] \in H_{j-1}(\partial W)\,;\, f \text{ is any face of } P^n \text{ with } \dim(f) = j\}$.

To prove this claim, we introduce a cell decomposition of W below. The argument is similar to the construction of perfect cell structures on small covers in [8, Theorem 3.1].

First, we realize P^n as a convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and choose a vector w in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is generic in the sense that it is tangent to no proper face of P^n. Choose an inner product $(\,,\,)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi(x) = (x, w)$. We can think of ϕ as the height function on P^n. Using ϕ, one makes the 1-skeleton of P^n into a directed graph by orienting each edge so that ϕ increases along it. Then for any face f of P^n with dimension > 0, $\phi|_f$ assumes its maximum (or minimun) at a vertex. Since ϕ is generic, each face f of P^n of a unique "top" and a unique "bottom" vertex. For any vertex v, let $m(v)$ denote the number of incident edges which point toward v, and let f_v be the smallest face of P^n which contains all the inward pointing edges incident to v. It is clear that $\dim(f_v) = m(v)$. A simple argument (see [4, p.115]) shows that

(3.5) The number of vertices v of P^n with $m(v) = k$ is equal to $h_k(P^n)$,

where $(h_0(P^n), \cdots, h_n(P^n))$ is the *h-vector* of P^n. By our previous notation, let

$$f_v^\Delta = f_v \cap P^\Delta.$$

In addition, let $\hat{f}_v$ denote the union of the relative interiors of those faces whose top vertex is v. $\hat{f}_v$ is obtained from f_v by removing all the faces of f_v that do not contain v. So $\hat{f}_v$ is diffeomorphic to the "quadrant" $\mathbb{R}_+^{m(v)}$. Let $\hat{f}_v^\Delta = \hat{f}_v \cap P^\Delta$.

By cutting P^n properly, we can assume that ϕ is a generic function on P^Δ as well. Moreover for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r$, we can assume $\phi(u_{i,1}) > \cdots > \phi(u_{i,n})$. Similarly to P^n, we can define $f_{u_{i,j}}$ and $\hat{f}_{u_{i,j}}$ for P^Δ. Observe that by the new notation,

$$E_i = f_{u_{i,1}},\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r.$$

Moreover, for any $f_{v_i} \subset P^n$ with $\dim(f_{v_i}) \geqslant 1$, there exists a unique $2 \leqslant l_i \leqslant n$ so that

$$f_{v_i}^\Delta = f_{u_{i,l_i}},\quad \hat{f}_{v_i}^\Delta = \hat{f}_{u_{i,l_i}}.$$

It is easy to see that $\{f_{v_i}^\Delta = f_{u_{i,l_i}}\,;\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r \text{ and } \dim(f_{v_i}^\Delta) \geqslant 1\}$ are exactly all the faces in $\{f_{u_{i,j}}\,;\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}$ that are not contained in any of $E_1, \cdots, E_{2r}$.

For each vertex $u_{i,j}$ of P^Δ, let $e_{i,j} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(\hat{f}_{u_{i,j}})$. We see from the construction (2.3) that $\{e_{i,j}\,;\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}$ is a cell decomposition of $W = M(P^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta)$. Moreover, the cellular boundary of each $e_{i,j}$ is either empty or lies in ∂W. So the relative cellular chain complex $C_*(W, \partial W) = C_*(W)/C_*(\partial W)$ has a *perfect basis*

$$\{e_{i,l_i} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(\hat{f}_{v_i}^\Delta)\,;\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r \text{ and } \dim(f_{v_i}^\Delta) \geqslant 1\}.$$

Here "perfect" means that the boundary of each e_{i,l_i} is trivial (relative to ∂W). Note

$$\dim(e_{i,l_i}) = \dim(\hat{f}_{v_i}^\Delta) = \dim(f_{v_i}^\Delta) = \dim(f_{v_i}) = m(v_i).$$

So by (3.5), for any $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $H_j(W, \partial W) = C_j(W, \partial W) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^{h_j}$ is generated by

$$\{e_{i,l_i};\, \dim(e_{i,l_i}) = m(v_i) = j\}.$$

Therefore, the image $\mathrm{Im}\big(H_j(W, \partial W) \to H_{j-1}(\partial W)\big)$ is spanned by the homology classes

$$\{[\partial(e_{i,l_i})] \in H_{j-1}(\partial W)\,;\, \dim(e_{i,l_i}) = j\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the homology class defined by $e_{i,l_i} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(\hat{f}_{v_i}^\Delta)$ coincides with $M_{f_{v_i}^\Delta} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(f_{v_i}^\Delta)$. So the image $\mathrm{Im}\big(H_j(W, \partial W) \to H_{j-1}(\partial W)\big)$ is spanned by the homology classes

$$\{[\partial M_{f_{v_i}^\Delta}] \in H_{j-1}(\partial W)\,;\, f_{v_i} \text{ is any face of } P^n \text{ with } \dim(f_{v_i}) = m(v_i) = j\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then our claim follows.

By the above claim and the identification of $H_{j-1}(\partial W) = H_{j-1}(\bigcup \mathbb{R}P_i^{n-1})$ with the subgroup $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \otimes t^{j-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[t] = H_{G_\tau}^*(M^{G_\tau})$, the image $\mathrm{Im}\big(H_j(W, \partial W) \to H_{j-1}(\partial W)\big)$ is spanned by $\{\xi_f \otimes t^{j-1}\,;\, f \text{ is any face of } P^n \text{ with } \dim(f) = j\}$. So by (3.3), the space V_k^M is spanned by $\{\xi_f\,;\, f \text{ is any face of } P^n \text{ with } n - k \leqslant \dim(f) \leqslant n\}$. So we have $V_k^M = \mathfrak{B}_0(P) + \mathfrak{B}_1(P) + \cdots + \mathfrak{B}_k(P) = \mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ by Lemma 3.1. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

According to (3.7), the linear space V_k^M is actually spanned by a smaller set

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{\xi_{f_v}\,;\, v \text{ is any vertex of } P^n \text{ with } n - k \leqslant m(v) \leqslant n,\} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}. \tag{3.8}$$

The order of $\mathcal{A}_k$ is equal to the number of vertices $v \in V(P^n)$ with $n-k \leqslant m(v) \leqslant n$. So

$$|\mathcal{A}_k| = h_{n-k}(P^n) + \cdots + h_n(P^n) = h_k(P^n) + \cdots + h_0(P^n)$$

by Dehn-Sommerville equation. On the other hand, Theorem 2.4 tells us that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_k^M = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j(M;\mathbb{F}_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} h_j(P^n) = |\mathcal{A}_k|.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{A}_k$ is a linear basis of V_k^M. So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\pi : M^n \to P^n$ be an n-dimensional small cover which admits a regular* m*-involution. For any $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, the set $\mathcal{A}_k$ is a linear basis of V_k^M. In particular when n is odd, $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is a linear basis of the self-dual binary code C_{M^n}.*

3.2. **The second proof of Theorem 3.2.**

According to Subsection 2.1(R4), the cohomology ring $H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by degree 1 elements. So as an algebra over $H^*(BG_\tau;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[t]$, the equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes H^*(BG_\tau;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by elements of degree 1. Since the operation $\circ$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ corresponds to the cup product in $H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that for any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $V_k^M = \underbrace{V_1^M \circ \cdots \circ V_1^M}_{k}$. On the other hand,

Claim: $\mathfrak{B}_k(P) = \underbrace{\mathfrak{B}_1(P) \circ \cdots \circ \mathfrak{B}_1(P)}_{k}$.

Indeed, for any facets $F_{i_1}, \cdots, F_{i_k}$ of P, their intersection $F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_k}$ is either empty or a face of codimension k. So by (3.1), we have $\xi_{F_{i_1}} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{F_{i_k}} = \xi_{F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_k}} \in \mathfrak{B}_k(P)$. Conversely, any codimension-k face f of P can be written as $f = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_k}$ where $F_{i_1}, ..., F_{i_k}$ are k different facets of P. So $\xi_f = \xi_{F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_k}} = \xi_{F_{i_1}} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{F_{i_k}}$. The Claim is proved.

So to prove Theorem 3.2, it is sufficient to prove that $V_1^M = \mathfrak{B}_1(P)$, i.e., V_1^M is spanned by the set $\{\xi_F\,;\, F \text{ is any facet of } P\}$. Next, we examine the localization of $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M)$ to $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n})$ more carefully.

Let $\mathcal{F}(P) = \{F_1, ..., F_m\}$ be the set of all facets of P. By our previous notations, the regular involution $\tau = \tau_{e_1+\cdots+e_n}$ on M only has isolated fixed points where

$$M^{G_\tau} = M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n} = \{\tilde{v}_1, \cdots, \tilde{v}_{2r}\}.$$

Clearly the inclusion $G_\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ induces the diagonal maps $\Delta_E : EG_\tau \longrightarrow E\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $\Delta_B : BG_\tau \longrightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EG_\tau & \xrightarrow{\Delta_E} & E\mathbb{Z}_2^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG_\tau & \xrightarrow{\Delta_B} & B\mathbb{Z}_2^n. \end{array}$$

Since $M^{G_\tau} = M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}$ consists of isolated points, we have a commutative diagram



where ϕ is the map induced by $\Delta_E \times \mathrm{id}$, and i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 are all inclusions. Furthermore, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & H^*_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_4^*} & \searrow^{g} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_3^*} \\ H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n};\mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow[\psi^*]{} & H^*_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau};\mathbb{F}_2) \end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

where $g = i_3^*\phi^* = \psi^* i_4^*$. Note that i_3^* and i_4^* are injective, and

$$H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n};\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(\tilde{v};\mathbb{F}_2), \quad H^*_{G_\tau}(M^{G_\tau};\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} H^*_{G_\tau}(\tilde{v};\mathbb{F}_2),$$

where $\tilde{v} = \pi^{-1}(v)$ is the fixed point corresponding to a vertex $v \in V(P)$. Then by the fact that $H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(\tilde{v};\mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_2^n;\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^*_{G_\tau}(\tilde{v};\mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(BG_\tau;\mathbb{F}_2)$, we can regard ψ^* as a direct sum:

$$\psi^* = \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} \Delta_B^*.$$

It is well known that $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_2^n;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[t_1,...,t_n]$ with $\deg t_i = 1$, and $H^*(BG_\tau;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[t]$ with $\deg t = 1$. Then we know by Künneth theorem that t_i is the cross product $\underbrace{1\times\cdots\times 1}_{i-1} \times t \times 1 \times \cdots \times 1$ where $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Furthermore, by [17, Theorem 61.3] we have

$$\Delta_B^*(t_i) = t, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n.$$

For any fixed point $\tilde{v} \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}$, the inclusion $i_{\tilde{v}} : \{\tilde{v}\} \hookrightarrow M$ induces a homomorphism

$$i_{\tilde{v}}^* : H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[a_{F_1},...,a_{F_m}]/I \longrightarrow H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_2^n;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[t_1,...,t_n].$$

Then we can write

$$i_4^* = \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} i_{\tilde{v}}^*.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let λ be the characteristic function of the small cover M so that $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda) = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Suppose F is a facet of P with $\lambda(F) = e_j$ for some $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Then for any vertex v of P, the fixed point $\tilde{v} = \pi^{-1}(v) \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}$ satisfies:*

$$i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_F) = \begin{cases} t_j, & \text{if } v \in F; \\ 0, & \text{if } v \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For convenience, let $M_F = \pi^{-1}(F)$. Set $i_{M_F} : M_F \hookrightarrow M$. It is well known that $(i_{M_F})_!(1) = a_F \in H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M)$ (recall that a_F is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of M_F in M). In addition according to [1, (5.3.14)], if $\tilde{v} \notin M_F$, we have

$$i_{\tilde{v}}^*(i_{M_F})_!(1) = 0.$$

So for any vertex $v \notin F$, we get $i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_F) = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_2[t_1, \cdots, t_n]$.

Next, we compute $i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_F)$ for any vertex $v \in F$. Since $\tilde{v}$ is a fixed point of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, the inclusion $i_{\tilde{v}} : \{\tilde{v}\} \hookrightarrow M$ induces an inclusion between the Borel constructions

$$i_{\tilde{v}} : \{\tilde{v}\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n} \hookrightarrow M_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}.$$

On the other hand, we have a canonical projection on the Borel constructions

$$\mathfrak{p} : M_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n} \to \{\tilde{v}\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}.$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{p} \circ i_{\tilde{v}} = id_{\{\tilde{v}\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}}$, and $\{\tilde{v}\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n} \cong B\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. The induced maps $\mathfrak{p}^*$ and $i_{\tilde{v}}^*$ in the cohomology of degree one are:

$$\begin{array}{lccccc} \text{id}: & H^1(B\mathbb{Z}_2^n) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}^*} & H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M) & \xrightarrow{i_{\tilde{v}}^*} & H^1(B\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \\ & \| & & \| & & \| \\ \text{id}: & \mathrm{span}\{t_1, \cdots, t_n\} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}^*} & \mathrm{span}\{a_{F_1}, \cdots, a_{F_m}\} & \xrightarrow{i_{\tilde{v}}^*} & \mathrm{span}\{t_1, \cdots, t_n\} \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda) = \{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, by the argument in [8, p.438], we get

$$\mathfrak{p}^*(t_j) = \lambda^*(t_j) = \sum_{\lambda(F_l) = e_j} a_{F_l},$$

where the characteristic map λ of the small cover $\pi : M \to P$, is regarded as a linear map

$$\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_2^m = \mathrm{span}\{F_1, \cdots, F_m\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$$

with a representing matrix $A_{n\times m} = (\lambda(F_1), \cdots, \lambda(F_m))$, and its dual

$$\lambda^* : \mathrm{span}\{t_1, \cdots, t_n\} \to \mathrm{span}\{a_{F_1}, \cdots, a_{F_m}\}$$

with a representing matrix A^t (the transpose of A). So

$$t_j = i_{\tilde{v}}^*(\mathfrak{p}^*(t_j)) = i_{\tilde{v}}^*\Big(\sum_{\lambda(F_l)=e_j} a_{F_l}\Big) = \sum_{\lambda(F_l)=e_j} i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_{F_l}) = \sum_{v\in F_l,\, \lambda(F_l)=e_j} i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_{F_l}).$$

Observe that among all the n facets containing v, there is only one facet (i.e. F) colored by e_j. So we obtain

$$\sum_{v\in F_l,\, \lambda(F_l)=e_j} i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_{F_l}) = i_{\tilde{v}}^*(a_F) = t_j.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Now suppose $\lambda(F) = e_j$. We get from Lemma 3.5 that

$$i_4^*(a_F) = \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} i_v^*(a_F) = \sum_{v\in F} t_j\xi_v = t_j\xi_F. \tag{3.10}$$

Recall that ξ_v denotes the vector in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2r} = \mathbb{Z}_2^{|V(P)|}$ with 1 at the coordinate corresponding to v and zero everywhere else.

Combining (3.10) with $\psi^* = \bigoplus_{v\in V(P)} \Delta_B^*$, we obtain

$$g(a_F) = \psi^* i_4^*(a_F) = t\xi_F. \tag{3.11}$$

So $g(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) = t\mathfrak{B}_1(P)$ since g is a graded ring homomorphism. According to Proposition 4.3 in the next section, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m-n+1$ where m is the number of facets of P. So $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} g(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) = m-n+1$. In addition, the commutativity of the diagram (3.9) implies that $g(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) \subset i_3^*(H^1_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2))$.

On the other hand, since i_3^* is a monomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} i_3^*(H^1_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^1_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2) &= b_0(M;\mathbb{F}_2) + b_1(M;\mathbb{F}_2) \ \text{ (by Theorem 2.4)}\\ &= h_0(P) + h_1(P) \ \text{ (by the property (R1))}\\ &= 1 + (m-n)\end{aligned}$$

So $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} g(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} i_3^*(H^1_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2))$. This implies that

$$g(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) = i_3^*(H^1_{G_\tau}(M;\mathbb{F}_2)) \implies \mathfrak{B}_1(P) = V_1^M.$$

The theorem is proved. □

4. Binary codes from general simple polytopes

The definition of $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ in (3.2) clearly makes sense for an arbitrary n-dimensional simple polytope P. We call $\mathfrak{B}_k(P) \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{|V(P)|}$ the *codimension-k face code of* P. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{B}_0(P) = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\} \cong \mathbb{F}_2$, and $\mathfrak{B}_n(P) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{|V(P)|}$ where

$$\underline{0} = (0,\cdots,0), \ \ \underline{1} = (1,\cdots,1).$$

In this section, we study the properties of $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ and investigate what kind of simple polytope P will the code $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ be self-dual (see Theorem 4.7). The arguments in this section are completely combinatorial and are independent from the discussion of small covers in the previous section.

For each $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ determines a matrix $M_k(P)$ with columns $\xi_f \in \mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ with respect to an ordering of all the vertices and all the codimension-k faces f of P. We call $M_k(P)$ the *code matrix of codimension-k faces* of P.

Example 4.1. Under the labeling of the vertices of the 6-prism P in Figure 2, the code matrix $M_1(P)$ is a 12×8 binary matrix shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 4.2. *Let P be an n-dimensional simple polytope with m facets. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) \geqslant m-n+1.$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1 \\ 1\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 1\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0 \\ 1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0 \\ 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0 \\ 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1 \\ 0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1 \\ 0\,1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,1\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,1\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0 \\ 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0 \\ 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 2. A 6-prism and its code matrix of codimension-1 faces

Proof. Let $\{F_1, ..., F_m\}$ be all the faces of P. Without the loss of generality, assume that $F_1, ..., F_n$ intersect at a vertex v of P. We claim that $\xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, ..., \xi_{F_m}$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{B}_1(P)$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) \geqslant m - n + 1$.

Assume $\sum_{i=n}^{m} \epsilon_i \xi_{F_i} = \underline{0}$, where $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$. We need to show that $\epsilon_i = 0$ for all $n \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Indeed, by multiplying both sides of $\sum_{i=n}^{m} \epsilon_i \xi_{F_i} = \underline{0}$ by $\xi_{F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1}}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=n}^{m} \epsilon_i \xi_{F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1}} \circ \xi_{F_i} = \sum_{i=n}^{m} \epsilon_i \xi_{F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_i} = \underline{0}.$$

For any $i > n$, we have $\xi_{F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_i} = \underline{0}$ since $F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_i = \varnothing$. So we obtain $\epsilon_n \xi_{F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_n} = \epsilon_n \xi_v = \underline{0}$, which implies $\epsilon_n = 0$.

Now let e be an edge with v as an endpoint. Let v' be another endpoint of e. Then $e = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n-1}}$ for some $\{i_1, \cdots, i_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $v' = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n-1}} \cap F_{n+1}$. By multiplying both sides of $\sum_{i=n}^{m} \epsilon_i \xi_{F_i} = \underline{0}$ by $\xi_{F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n-1}}}$, we obtain $\epsilon_{n+1} = 0$. Moreover, if we consider any other edge e' with v' as an endpoint, the similar argument shows that $\epsilon_i = 0$ where F_i intersects e' transversely at the other end. Since the 1-skeleton of P is connected, we can show that $\epsilon_i = 0$ for all $n \leqslant i \leqslant m$ by repeating the above argument. □

Next let us look at what happens when $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m - n + 1$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let P be an n-dimensional simple polytope with m facets. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m - n + 1$ if and only if P is n-colorable.*

Proof. Let $\{F_1, ..., F_m\}$ be all the faces of P. Suppose P is n-colorable. Then P admits a coloring $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P) \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that the image $\mathrm{Im}\lambda$ is a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(P) \,|\, \lambda(F) = e_i\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

By the definition of λ, each vertex of P is incident to exactly one facet in $\mathcal{F}_i$. So we have

$$\bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} V(F) = V(P), \quad \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} \xi_F = \sum_{v\in V(P)} \xi_v = \underline{1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Without loss of generality, assume that the facets $F_1, ..., F_n$ meet at a vertex, and $\lambda(F_i) = e_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$. We know from the proof of Lemma 4.2 that $\xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, ..., \xi_{F_m}$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{B}_1(P)$. We claim that for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $\xi_{F_i}, \xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, ..., \xi_{F_m}$ are linearly dependent in $\mathfrak{B}_1(P)$. Indeed, it follows from (4.1) that

$$\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} \xi_F + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_n} \xi_F = \underline{1} + \underline{1} = \underline{0}. \tag{4.2}$$

Observe that

$$\{\xi_F | F \in \mathcal{F}_i\} \subset \{\xi_{F_i}, \xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, \cdots \xi_{F_m}\}, \quad \{\xi_F | F \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \subset \{\xi_{F_i}, \xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, \cdots \xi_{F_m}\}.$$

So (4.2) implies that $\xi_{F_i}, \xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, ..., \xi_{F_m}$ are linearly dependent. Then by Lemma 4.2, we can conclude $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m - n + 1$.

Conversely, suppose $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m - n + 1$. If P is not n-colorable, by Theorem 2.5 there exists a 2-face f^2 of P which has odd number of vertices, say $v_1, \cdots, v_{2k+1}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $f^2 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{n-2}$ and $v_1 = F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_n$. By the proof of Lemma 4.2, $\{\xi_{F_n}, \xi_{F_{n+1}}, ..., \xi_{F_m}\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{B}_1(P)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that (see Figure 3)

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = f^2 \cap F_{n-1} \cap F_n \\ v_2 = f^2 \cap F_n \cap F_{n+1} \\ \cdots \\ v_i = f^2 \cap F_{n+i-2} \cap F_{n+i-1} \\ \cdots \\ v_{2k} = f^2 \cap F_{n+2k-2} \cap F_{n+2k-1} \\ v_{2k+1} = f^2 \cap F_{n+2k-1} \cap F_{n-1} \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 3. A face f with odd number of vertices

Assume that there exists $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $i = 1, n, ..., m$ so that

$$\epsilon_1\xi_{F_1} + \epsilon_n\xi_{F_n} + \epsilon_{n+1}\xi_{F_{n+1}} + \cdots + +\epsilon_m\xi_{F_m} = \underline{0}. \tag{4.3}$$

For each i, by taking the inner product with ξ_{v_i} on both sides of (4.3), we get

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_n = 0, \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_n + \epsilon_{n+1} = 0, \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_{n+2} = 0, \\ \cdots \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_{n+i-2} + \epsilon_{n+i-1} = 0, \\ \cdots \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_{n+2k-2} + \epsilon_{n+2k-1} = 0, \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_{n+2k-1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

The coefficient matrix of the above linear system is a $(2k+1) \times (2k+1)$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & ..... & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2k+1)\times(2k+1)} .$$

It is easy to show that the determinant of this matrix is 1. So the linear system (4.4) only has zero solution, which implies that $\xi_{F_1}, \xi_{F_n}, ..., \xi_{F_m}$ are linearly independent. Then we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) \geqslant m - n + 2$. But this contradicts our assumption that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P) = m - n + 1$. So the proposition is proved. □

Next, we study when $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ can be a self-dual code. Note that if for some $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ is a self-dual binary code, it is necessary that $|V(P)|$ is even.

Lemma 4.4. *Let P be an n-dimensional simple polytope with $2r$ vertices. If for some $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ is a self-dual code in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$, then P is n-colorable.*

Proof. Since the lemma is trivial for $n \leqslant 2$, we assume $n \geqslant 3$ in the rest. It is clear that $\mathfrak{B}_n(P) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ is never self-dual in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$. Next, we show that $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P)$ can not be a self-dual code. In fact, for any two edges f_1, f_2 of P with a common vertex, we have $\langle \xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2} \rangle = 1$, so $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P)$ is not self-dual. So if $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ is a self-dual code, then $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$. For any 2-face f of P, we can write f as the intersection of $n-k-2$ faces $H_1, ..., H_{n-k-2}$ of codimension k. By Lemma 2.3(C3), we have $\xi_f = \xi_{H_1} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{H_{n-k-2}} \in \mathcal{V}_{2r}$. This implies that f has an even number of vertices. So P is n-colorable by Theorem 2.5. □

The above discussion suggests several new ways to judge whether a simple n-polytope P is n-colorable in terms of $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let P^n be an n-dimensional simple polytope with m facets. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) P^n *is* n*-colorable.*
(2) *There exists a partition* $\mathcal{F}_1, ..., \mathcal{F}_n$ *of the set* $\mathcal{F}(P^n)$ *of all facets, such that for each* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$*, all the facets in* $\mathcal{F}_i$ *are pairwise disjoint and* $\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} \xi_F = \underline{1}$ *(i.e., each vertex of* P^n *is incident to exactly one facet from every* $\mathcal{F}_i$*).*
(3) $\mathfrak{B}_0(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_1(P^n) \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_n(P^n) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{|V(P^n)|}$.
(4) $\mathfrak{B}_{n-2}(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$.
(5) $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_1(P^n) = m - n + 1$.

Proof. It is easy to verify the above equivalences when $n \leqslant 2$. So we assume $n \geqslant 3$ below. In the proof of Proposition 4.3, we have proved (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5).

Now we show that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). By the condition in (2), we clearly have

$$\mathfrak{B}_0(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_1(P^n), \quad \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_n(P^n).$$

It remains to show that $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(P^n)$ for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$. Let f^{n-k} be a codimension-k face of P^n. Without the loss of generality, we assume that

$$f^{n-k} = F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_k, \text{ where } F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, \ i = 1, ..., k.$$

For each $j = k+1, \cdots, n$, we have that

$$\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_j} \xi_{F\cap f^{n-k}} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_j} \xi_F \circ \xi_{f^{n-k}} = \xi_{f^{n-k}} \circ (\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_j} \xi_F) = \xi_{f^{n-k}} \circ \underline{1} = \xi_{f^{n-k}}.$$

In the above equality, if $F \cap f^{n-k} = \varnothing$, then $\xi_{F\cap f^{n-k}} = \xi_\varnothing = \underline{0}$. If $F \cap f^{n-k} \neq \varnothing$, then $F \cap f^{n-k}$ is a face of codimension $k+1$. so $\xi_{F\cap f^{n-k}} \in \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(P^n)$. Thus we get $\xi_{f^{n-k}} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_j} \xi_{F\cap f^{n-k}} \in \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(P^n)$. This completes the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

It is trivial that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Next we show (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume $\mathfrak{B}_{n-2}(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$. Notice that the number of nonzero coordinates in any vector in $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$ must be even. So for any 2-face f^2 of P^n, we have $\xi_{f^2} \in \mathfrak{B}_{n-2}(P^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(P^n)$, which implies that f^2 has an even number vertices. Hence P^n is n-colorable by Theorem 2.5. □

Proposition 4.6. *If* P^n *is an* n*-dimensional* n*-colorable simple polytope, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_k(P^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} h_i(P^n), \ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n.$$

Proof. Let M^n be a small cover over P^n whose characteristic function $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ satisfies: the image Imλ is a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. By Theorem 3.2, the space $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ coincides with V_k^M (see (2.6)). So the proposition follows from Theorem 2.4. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let* P^n *be an* n*-dimensional simple polytope with* $2r$ *vertices. Then* $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ *is a self-dual code in* $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ *if and only if* P^n *is* n*-colorable,* n *is odd and* $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Proof. If P^n is n-colorable and n is odd, the space $\mathfrak{B}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(P^n)$ coincides with $V^M_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ which is a self-dual binary code by Corollary 3.3.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{B}_k(P^n)$ is a self-dual code in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ for some $0 < k < n$, Lemma 4.4 says that P^n must be n-colorable. Then by Proposition 4.6,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathfrak{B}_k(P^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} h_i(P^n) = r = \frac{|V(P^n)|}{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i(P^n)}{2}.$$

Then because $h_i(P^n) > 0$ and the Dehn-Sommerville equations $h_i(P^n) = h_{n-i}(P^n)$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we deduce that n must be odd and $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$. □

By comparing Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.7, we see that the set of self-dual binary codes we can possibly obtain from small covers agree with those obtained from simple polytopes.

5. Self-dual binary codes from 3-dimensional simple polytopes

Proposition 5.1. *For any 3-dimensional 3-colorable simple polytope P^3, the minimum distance of the self-dual code $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ is always equal to 4.*

Proof. It is well known that any 3-dimensional simple polytope must have a 2-face with less than 6 vertices. Then since P^3 is even, there must be a 4-gon 2-face in P^3. So by Corollary 3.3, the minimum distance of $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ is less or equal to 4. In addition, we know that the Hamming weight of any element in $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ is an even integer. So we only need to prove that for any 2-face $F_1, \cdots, F_k$ of P^3, the Hamming weight of $\alpha = \xi_{F_1} + \cdots + \xi_{F_k} \in \mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ can not be 2.

- Let $V(\alpha)$ be the union of all the vertices of $F_1, \cdots, F_k$.
- Let $\Gamma(\alpha)$ be the union of all the vertices and edges of $F_1, \cdots, F_k$. So $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is a graph with vertex set $V(\alpha)$.

A vertex v in $V(\alpha)$ is called *type-j* if v is incident to exactly j facets in $F_1, \cdots, F_k$. Then since P^3 is simple, any vertex in $V(\alpha)$ is of type-1, type-2 or type-3 (see Figure 4). Suppose there are l_j vertices of type-j in $V(\alpha)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that the Hamming weight of α is equal to $l_1 + l_3$. Assume that $wt(\alpha) = l_1 + l_3 = 2$. Then we have three cases for l_1 and l_3:

$$\text{(a) } l_1 = 2, l_3 = 0; \quad \text{(b) } l_1 = 1, l_3 = 1; \quad \text{(a) } l_1 = 0, l_3 = 2.$$

Note that any vertex of type-2 or type-3 in $V(\alpha)$ meets exactly three edges in $\Gamma(\alpha)$. In other words, $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is a graph whose vertices are all 3-valent except the type-1 vertices. Let $\Gamma(P^3)$ denote the graph of P^3 (the union of all the vertices and edges of P^3). and let $\overline{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \Gamma(P^3) \backslash \Gamma(\alpha)$. Observe that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ meets $\overline{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ only at the type-1 vertices in $V(\alpha)$.

- In the case (a), there are two type-1 vertices in $V(\alpha)$, denoted by v and v'. Then since $\Gamma(\alpha)$ meets $\overline{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ only at $\{v, v'\}$, removing v and v' from the graph $\Gamma(P^3)$ will disconnect $\Gamma(P^3)$ (see Figure 4 for example). But according to Balinski's theorem (see [2]), the graph of any 3-dimensional simple polytope is a 3-connected graph (i.e. removing any two vertices from the graph does not disconnect it). So (a) is impossible.

- In the case (b), there is only one type-1 vertex in $V(\alpha)$, denoted by v. By the similar argument as above, removing v from the graph $\Gamma(P^3)$ will disconnect $\Gamma(P^3)$. This contradicts the 3-connectivity of $\Gamma(P^3)$. So (b) is impossible either.
- In the case (c), there are no type-1 vertices in $V(\alpha)$. So $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is a 3-valent graph. This implies that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the whole 1-skeleton of P^3, and so $V(\alpha) = V(P^3)$. Then the Hamming wight $wt(\alpha) = wt(\xi_{F_1} + \cdots + \xi_{F_k}) = wt(\underline{1}) = |V(P^3)| \geqslant 4$. But this contradicts our assumption that $wt(\alpha) = 2$. So (c) is impossible.

Therefore, the Hamming weight of any element of $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ can not be 2. So we finish the proof of the theorem. □



FIGURE 4. The graph of a simple 3-polytope

6. Properties of n-dimensional n-colorable simple polytopes

For brevity, we use the words "*even polytope*" to refer to an n-dimensional n-colorable simple polytope in the rest of the paper. Indeed, this term has already been used by Joswig [12].

Definition 1. ([18] and [13] Remark 2) Let F be a facet of a simple polytope P and $V(F)$ be the set of vertices of F. Define a map

$$\Xi_F : V(F) \to V(P) \backslash V(F)$$

as follows. For each $v \in V(F)$, there is exactly one edge e of P, such that $e \nsubseteq F$, $v \in e$ (since P is simple and F is codimension one). Then v is mapped to the other endpoint $\Xi_F(v)$ of e.

Example 6.1. Let P be the 6-prism in Figure 2 and F be the facet with vertex set $\{3, 4, 9, 10\}$. Then by definition, $\Xi_F : \{3, 4, 9, 10\} \to \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12\}$ where

$$\Xi(3) = 2,\ \Xi(4) = 5,\ \Xi(9) = 8,\ \Xi(10) = 11.$$

Proposition 6.2. *For an even polytope P, the map Ξ_F is injective for any facet F of P.*

Proof. Assume Ξ_F is not injective. There must exist two vertices $p_1, p_2 \in F$ and a vertex $v \notin F$ such that v is connected to both p_1 and p_2 by edges in P (see Figure 5). Let f_i be the edge with end points p_i and v, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose the dimension of P is n. Then there exist n facets, $F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_n$, distinct to F, such that

$$v = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F_i, \quad f_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} F_i, \quad f_2 = \bigcap_{i=2}^{n} F_i.$$

Then we have

$$p_1 = F \bigcap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} F_i), \quad p_2 = F \bigcap (\bigcap_{i=2}^{n} F_i).$$



FIGURE 5. A facet F with Ξ_F non-injective

Since P is n-colorable, we can color all the facets of P by n-colors $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ such that no adjacent facets are assigned the same color. Suppose F_i is colored by e_i, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then at p_1, F has to be colored by e_n while at p_2, F has to be colored by e_1, contradiction. □

Proposition 6.3. *Let P be an even polytope. For any facet F of P, we have*

$$|V(P)| \geqslant 2|V(F)|.$$

Moreover, $|V(P)| = 2|V(F)|$ if and only if $P = F \times [0, 1]$ where $[0, 1]$ denotes a 1-simplex.

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, the map $\Xi_F : V(F) \to V(P) \backslash V(F)$ is injective. So we have

$$|V(F)| \leqslant |V(P) \backslash V(F)| = |V(P)| - |V(F)|.$$

So $|V(P)| \geqslant 2|V(F)|$. If $|V(P)| = 2|V(F)|$, the injectivity of Ξ_F implies $P = F \times [0, 1]$. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let f be a codimension-k face of an even polytope P. Then $|V(P)| \geqslant 2^k |V(f)|$. Moreover, $|V(P)| = 2^k |V(f)|$ if and only if $P = f \times [0, 1]^k$.*

Corollary 6.5. *For any n-dimensional even polytope P, we must have $|V(P)| \geqslant 2^n$. In particular, $|V(P)| = 2^n$ if and only if $P = [0, 1]^n$ (the n-dimensional cube).*

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose P is an n-dimensional even polytope, $n \geqslant 4$. If there exists a facet F of P with $|V(P)| = 2|V(F)|$, then there there exists a 3-face of P which is isomorphic to a 3-dimensional cube.*

Proof. It is well known that any 3-dimensional simple polytope must have a 2-face f with less than 6 vertices. Now since P is even, any 2-face of P must have an even number of vertices. So there exists a 4-gon face f in F. Then since $|V(P)| = 2|V(F)|$, we have $P = F \times [0,1]$ by Corollary 6.4. So P has a 3-face $f \times [0,1]$ which is a 3-cube. □

7. Doubly-even binary codes

A binary code C is called *doubly-even* if the Hamming weight of any codeword in C is divisible by 4. Doubly-even self-dual codes are of special importance among binary codes and have been extensively studied. According to Gleason [9], the length of any doubly-even self-dual code is divisible by 8. In addition, Mallows-Sloane [16] showed that if C is a double-even self-dual code of length l, it is necessary that the minimum distance d of C satisfies $d \leqslant 4\left[\frac{l}{24}\right] + 4$. And C is called *extremal* if the equality holds.

A result of Zhang [23] tells us that an extremal doubly-even self-dual binary code must have length less or equal to 3928. However, the existence of extremal doubly-even self-dual binary codes is only known for the following lengths (see [10] and [21, p.273])

$$l = 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, 48, 56, 64, 80, 88, 104, 112, 136.$$

For example, the extended Golay code $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ is the only doubly-even self-dual [24,12,8] code, and the extended quadratic residue code QR_{48} is the only doubly-even self-dual [48,24,12] code (see [11]). In addition, the existence of an extremal doubly-even self-dual code of length 72 is a long-standing open question (see [22] and [21, Section 12]).

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.3, which gives us a way to construct doubly-even self-dual codes from simple polytopes.

Proposition 7.1. *For an $(2k+1)$-dimensional even polytope P, the self-dual binary code $\mathfrak{B}_k(P)$ is doubly-even if and only if the number of vertices of any $(k+1)$-dimensional face of P is divisible by 4.*

Definition 2. We say that a self-dual binary code C can be *realized by an even polytope* if there exists a $(2k+1)$-dimensional even polytope P so that $C = \mathfrak{B}_k(P)$.

Example 7.2. An extremal doubly-even self-dual binary code of length 8 and 16 can be realized by the 3-cube and the 8-prism (8-gon$\times[0,1]$), respectively.

Proposition 7.3. *The $[24, 12, 8]$ extended Golay code $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ can not be realized by any even polytope.*

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ can be realized by an n-dimensional even polytope P^n, where n is odd. Then P^n has 24 vertices. By Corollary 6.5, we have $24 \geqslant 2^n$ which implies $n = 1, 3$. But $n = 1$ is clearly impossible. And by Proposition 5.1, $n = 3$ is impossible either.

Another way to prove this result is that since P^3 must have a 4-gon face, the code $\mathfrak{B}_1(P^3)$ must have a codeword with Hamming weight 4. But it is known that any codeword of $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ must have Hamming weight $0, 8, 12, 16$ or 24. □

Proposition 7.4. *The* $[48, 24, 12]$ *extended quadratic residue code* QR_{48} *can not be realized by any even polytope.*

Proof. Suppose QR_{48} can be realized by an n-dimensional even polytope P^n. Then by Corollary 6.5, we must have $n = 1, 3$ or 5. But by Proposition 5.1, n can not be 1 or 3. If $n = 5$, since $|V(P^5)| = 48$, any 3-face of P^5 has to be an even polytope with 12 vertices by Corollary 6.4 and the fact that the minimum distance of QR_{48} is 12. Then P^5 is isomorphic to the product of a simple 3-polytope with $[0, 1]^2$ by Corollary 6.4 again. This implies that P^5 has a 3-face isomorphic to a 3-cube. But this contradicts the fact that any 3-face of P^5 has 12 vertices. □

Proposition 7.5. *An extremal doubly-even self-dual codes of length* 72 *(if exists) can not be realized by any even polytope.*

Proof. Assume that C is an extremal doubly-even self-dual binary code of length 72 and C can be realized by an even polytope P. Then by the definition of extremity, the minimum distance of C is 16 and P has 72 vertices. Moreover, we have

(i) the dimension of P has to be 5 by Corollary 6.5 and Proposition 5.1;

(ii) any 3-face of P must be an even polytope with 16 vertices by Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 7.1.

Then any 4-face of P must have 32 or 36 vertices by Corollary 6.4.

• If P has a 4-face F with 32 vertices, then $F = f \times [0, 1]$ where f is a 3-face with 16 vertices by (ii) and Corollary 6.4. This implies that P has a 3-face isomorphic to a 3-cube by Corollary 6.6. But this contradicts (ii).

• If P has a 4-face F with 36 vertices, then $P = F \times [0, 1]$ by Corollary 6.4. So P has a 3-face isomorphic to a 3-cube by Corollary 6.6. This contradicts (ii) again.

So by the above argument, such an even polytope P does not exist. □

Acknowledgements

This paper is inspired by a question on self-dual binary codes and small covers, which was proposed to the authors by professor Matthias Kreck during his visit to China in September 2012.

References

[1] C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. **32**. Cambridge University Press, London (1993).

[2] M. L. Balinski, *On the graph structure of convex polyhedra in n-space*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 431–434.

[3] G.E. Bredon, *Intrduction to compact transformation groups*, In: Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **46**. Academic Press, New York, London (1972)

[4] A. Brøndsted, *An introduction to convex polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 90, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983.

[5] V. Buchstaber and T. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, vol. **24**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] B. Chen and Z. Lü, *Equivariant cohomology and analytic descriptions of ring isomorphisms*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), No. 4, 891–908.
[7] P.E. Conner, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Second edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 738, Springer, Berlin (1979).
[8] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus Actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 417–451.
[9] A. M. Gleason, *Weight polynomials of self-dual codes and the MacWillams identities*, Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens, volume 3, (Nice, 1970), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971, 211–215,
[10] M. Harada, *An extremal doubly even self-dual code of length* 112, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (2008), no. 1, Note 33.
[11] S. K. Houghten, C. W. H. Lam, L. H. Thiel and J. A. Parker, *The extended quadratic Residue code is the only* $(48; 24; 12)$ *self-dual doubly-even code*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49, no. 1 (2003), 53–59.
[12] M. Joswig, *Projectivities in simplicial complexes and colorings of simple polytopes*, Math. Z. **240** (2002), no. 2, 243–259.
[13] G. Kalai, *A Simple Way to Tell a Simple Polytope from Its Graph*, J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **49** (1988), 381–383.
[14] M. Kreck and V. Puppe, *Involutions on 3-manifolds and self-dual, binary codes*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. 10 (2008), no. **2**, 139–148.
[15] J.H. van Lint, *Introduction to coding theory*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **86**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).
[16] C. L. Mallows and N. J. A. Sloane, *An upper bound for self-dual codes*, Inf. Contr., vol. **22** (1973), 188–200.
[17] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
[18] M.A. Perles, *Results and problems on reconstruction of polytopes*, Jerusalem 1970, unpublished.
[19] V. Puppe, *Group actions and codes*. Can. J. Math. l53, 212–224 (2001).
[20] E. M. Rains, *Shadow bounds for self-dual-codes*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 44 (1998), 134–139.
[21] E. M. Rains and N.J. Sloane, *Self-dual codes*, Handbook of coding theory, Vol. I, II, 177–294, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.
[22] N. J. A. Sloane, *Is there a* $(72; 36)$ $d = 16$ *self-dual code?* IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 19 (1973), 251.
[23] S. Zhang, *On the nonexistence of extremal self-dual codes*, Discrete Appl. Math., vol. 91 (1999), 277–286.
[24] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **152**, Springer-Verlag (1995).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, P. R. China
E-mail address: bobchen@hust.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P.R. China.
E-mail address: zlu@fudan.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics and IMS, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, P.R.China
E-mail address: yuli@nju.edu.cn